GRAFF & YOUNG INVESTMENT THEORY RESEARCH PROGRAM

BY

RICHARD A. GRAFF

ELECTRUM PARTNERS
400 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
SUITE 1616
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611
RGRAFF@ELECTRUM.US

JANUARY 4, 2003

© COPYRIGHT 2003 RICHARD A. GRAFF ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

BASIC THEMES OF GRAFF & YOUNG RESEARCH PROGRAM

- Investment Theory Must be Tailored to Individual Market Environments
- Real Estate Market Structure is Totally Different from Stock Market Structure
 - It is Reasonable to Expect Appropriate Real Estate Investment Theory to be Correspondingly Different
- Empirical Analysis is Necessary Precursor to Development of Real Estate Investment Theory
- Large Data Sets Contain Clues about How to Analyze the Data
 - Creative Analysis Usually Proceeds as the Data Suggests
 - Data Analysis Leads to Theory Synthesis

MODERN PORTFOLIO THEORY (MPT) AND STOCK MARKETS

- Quantitative Portfolio Optimization Model for Stock Market Investment
- Model Derivation is Based on Assumptions
 - Price Determination is Exogenous to Individual Market Transactions
 - Accurate Current Transaction-Based Asset Prices Available to Investors
 - Transaction Costs are Not Material Market Friction Sources
 - Normally Distributed Asset Investment Risk
 - Reasonably Accurate Unbiased Asset Risk Estimators Available to Investors
 - Linear Estimators Based on Transaction Prices
 - Asset Risk Has Significant Systematic and/or Sector Components
 - If Not, Any Naive Diversification Methodology is Just as Effective at Risk Reduction
- Quantitative Diversification is Key to Efficient Investing Under the Above Conditions

KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF STOCK MARKET INDEX BENCHMARKS

Index Portfolios Can Be Replicated by	Market Participants
---	----------------------------

- Stocks are Fungible
- Index Stock Components are Traded and Available
- Index Returns are Based on Actual Transaction Prices
- Returns of Index-Replicating Portfolios Automatically Track Index Returns

REAL ESTATE MARKET DOES NOT SATISFY MPT MODEL REQUIREMENTS

- Price Determination is Not Exogenous to Individual Investors
- Price Data is Usually Appraisal-Based
- Transaction Costs and Times are Significant Sources of Market Friction
- Investment Risk Estimators are Appraisal-Based
 - Whether Investment Risk is Normally Distributed was Unknown
 - Whether Investment Risk has Significant Systematic and/or Sector Components was Unknown
 - Whether Risk Estimators are Unbiased was Unknown
 - Whether Risk Estimators are Reasonably Accurate was Unknown
- Whether MPT Strategies Have Any Value in Real Estate Market Environment was Unknown

KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF REAL ESTATE INDEX BENCHMARKS

- Index Portfolios Can Not be Replicated
 - Index Components are Not Fungible
 - Index Component Properties are Neither Traded Nor Available
- Index Returns are Usually Based on Most Recent Appraisal Valuations
- Whether Actual Portfolios Can Approximate Index Returns Reasonably Accurately was Unknown
 - How Effective is Diversification in Real Estate Market Environment?

GRAFF & YOUNG RESEARCH ADDRESSES MPT APPLICABILITY TO REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO STRATEGY

- Is Real Estate Investment Risk Normally Distributed?
- Does Investment Risk Have Significant Systematic and/or Sector Components?
- Are Real Estate Returns Serially Independent?
- Are Appraisal-Based Valuations Reasonably Accurate?
- Are Appraisal-Based Valuations Unbiased?

GRAFF & YOUNG RESEARCH ADDRESSES VALUE OF INDEX BENCHMARKS TO REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT

• Can Actual Portfolios Be Constructed That Will Track Index Returns with Reasonable Accuracy?

IS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT RISK NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED? IF NOT, CAN THE RETURN DISTRIBUTION BE CHARACTERIZED IN ANY WAY?

- G&Y Study #1 Tests Shape of Risk Distribution with 13,958 Annual NCREIF Returns
 - Return Distributions are Stable but Fat-Tailed
 - Return Distributions are Heteroscedastic
 - Skewness and Volatility/Scale Vary from Year to Year
 - Value of Characteristic Exponent is Invariant
 - Measure of Fat-Tailedness
 - Unchanging from Year to Year and across Property Type
 - Verification That Test Result is Not a Statistical Fluke of the Data Set

ARE REAL ESTATE RETURNS OUTSIDE OF THE U.S. STABLE AND FAT-TAILED?

- G&Y Study #6 Retests Shape of Risk Distribution with 4,593 Property Council of Australia Annual Returns
 - Different Time Interval and Completely Separate Market
- Results of Australian Study Confirm Initial Study
 - Australian Returns are Not Normally Distributed
 - Australian Return Distributions are Stable but Fat-Tailed
 - Australian Return Distributions are Heteroscedastic
 - Skewness and Volatility/Scale Vary from Year to Year
 - Value of Characteristic Exponent for Australian Returns is Invariant
- U.S. and Australian Return Distributions Have Same Characteristic Exponent
 - Confirms That Characteristic Exponent Value Reflects Real Estate Economic or Market Characteristics

DOES REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT RISK HAVE SIGNIFICANT SYSTEMATIC AND/OR SECTOR COMPONENTS?

- G&Y Study #2 Tests Correlation Magnitudes with 38,679 Correlations Between NCREIF Annual Return Series
 - Implicitly tests Value Added by quantitative MPT diversification
- Study Assumes Returns are Independent, Identically and Normally Distributed
 - Same assumptions as made in nearly all previous quantitative portfolio analyses
 - Implicitly Retests Conclusions of Earlier Real Estate MPT Studies
- Best Estimate for Correlation Between Individual Property Returns is 0.20
 - Most Volatility in Investment Returns is Idiosyncratic
 - Typical Return Series Have Only 4% of Volatility in Common
- Quantitative MPT Diversification Has No More Value Than Naive Diversification

ARE REAL ESTATE RETURNS SERIALLY INDEPENDENT?

- G&Y Study #3 Tests Serial Persistence in NCREIF Database Annual Returns
 - **■** Extreme Returns Exhibit Strong Year-to-Year Persistence Tendency
 - Moderate Returns Exhibit No Significant Evidence of Year-to-Year Persistence
- Hypothesis Test Based on Nonparametric Statistics
 - Better than Joint Test of Hypothesis and Model Based on Parametric Statistics
 - **■** Evidence is Strongest When Returns are Grouped into Quartiles
- Some Real Estate Returns are Serially Independent and Others are Dependent
 - Sample Standard Deviation for I.I.D. Samples is Inadequate Risk Estimator
 - No ARMA Time Series Model is Adequate Risk Estimator

DO REAL ESTATE RETURNS OUTSIDE OF THE U.S. EXHIBIT PERSISTENCE?

- G&Y Study #7 Retests Serial Persistence with Property Council of Australia Annual Returns
 - Different Time Interval and Completely Separate Market
 - Same Qualitative Results as Study of U.S. Returns
 - Extreme Returns Exhibit Similar Evidence of Serial Persistence
 - Moderate Returns Exhibit No Significant Evidence of Serial Persistence
- Persistence in Australian Returns Seems Related to Institutional Investor Participation
 - Suburban Office Market Exhibited No Persistence in Extreme Returns Until Institutions Entered Market
 - Will Persistence in Extreme Returns Continue to Increase as Institutional Participation Matures?
 - Persistence Test in Subsequent Sample Period is Required to Determine Answer
 - Affirmative Answer Would Support Agency Cost Persistence Explanation in Graff & Webb Study

ARE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY APPRAISALS ACCURATE AND/OR UNBIASED?

- G&Y Study #5 Examines Random Appraisal Error by Testing 747 Samples from the RREEF Database
 - Each Sample is the Numerical Difference between Two Simultaneous Appraisals of a Single Property
 - Methodology Avoids Contamination by Transaction Illiquidity Signal Noise
- Appraisal Error Can be Decomposed into Components
 - **■** White Noise
 - Best Standard Deviation Estimate is 2.0%
 - Constant Except in Years of Extreme Market Transaction Gridlock
 - **■** Nonrandom Bias
 - Observable in Less Than Half of the Samples
 - Bias is Infrequently Much Larger Than White Noise
 - Infrequent Large Occurrences are Consistent with Serial Persistence and Fat-Tailed Returns
- Nonrandom Bias Error Sources are Excessive Agency Costs and Appraiser Bias
 - Excessive Agency Costs Can be Detected and Eliminated by Investment Control Systems
 - Appraiser Bias Error Can be Minimized by Better Professional Training
- Empirical Support for Agency Cost Explanation of Persistence and Fat-Tailedness in Graff & Webb Study

CAN REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIOS TRACK INDEX RETURNS?

- G&Y Study #1 Addresses This Question
- Fat-Tailed Return Distributions Imply Diversification is Minimally Effective Portfolio Risk Reduction Strategy
- Idiosyncratic Risk Declines with Cube Root of Number of Assets
 - Risk Declines with Square Root of Number of Assets in Case of Normally Distributed Risk
- 1000 Portfolio Assets are Required to Reduce Idiosyncratic Risk by Factor of 10
 - Only 100 Assets are Required if Risk is Normally Distributed
- Only Huge Portfolios Can Possibly Track Well-Diversified Real Estate Indexes
- This Response Can be Improved with Results from Subsequent G&Y Studies

DO REIT RETURNS REFLECT REAL ESTATE RETURNS?

- Previous Studies by Other Researchers Partially Answer This Question
 - REIT Returns Reflect Small-Cap Stock Returns More Than Real Estate Returns
 - See Graff (2001) Comprehensive REIT Study for Discussion and References
- G&Y Study #4 Tests Serial Persistence in REIT Returns with Annual, Quarterly and Monthly Returns
- Annual REIT Returns Exhibit Same Serial Persistence in Extreme Returns as Annual NCREIF Returns
 - Serial Persistence is Less Pronounced Than in Individual Property Returns
 - Evidence Supports Assertion That REIT Returns Reflect Real Estate Returns
- Institutional Investors Prevent REITs from Reflecting Real Estate Investment Characteristics
 - Serial Persistence in Annual Returns Declines Once Institutional Investors Enter REIT Market
 - No Serial Persistence in Returns of Large-Cap REITs That Institutional Investors Prefer
- No Evidence of Serial Persistence in Quarterly REIT Returns
 - Possibility of Another Effect such as Seasonality That Masks Persistence
 - Result Warrants Further Investigation

DO REIT RETURNS REFLECT MARKET INEFFICIENCIES?

- Suggested by Analysis of Monthly Returns in G&Y Study #4
- Negative Persistence in Extreme Monthly Returns
 - Negative Persistence Confined to Large-Cap REITs
 - Institutional Investor Activity Confined to Large-Cap REITs
 - Effect Only Observed in Large-Cap Returns Once Institutional Investors Enter Market
- Evidence of Inadequate Institutional Investor Information
 - Negative Persistence Suggests Similarly-Timed Analogous Portfolio Adjustments by Investors
 - Counterproductive Investor Behavior Predicted by Grossman and Stiglitz
- Evidence of Excessive Institutional Investor REIT Commitments
 - Negative Persistence in Extreme Returns Suggests Large Successive REIT Share Price Jumps
 - Large Price Change Reflects Corresponding Shift in Supply-and-Demand Equilibrium
 - Price Bounces Back in Following Month after Institutions Complete Portfolio Adjustments
- Price Responses to Portfolio Adjustments Reduce Institutional Investment Returns from REITs
 - Buy-and-Hold Investment Strategies Minimize Effect of Price Responses
 - Better Investor Information about REITs Improves Price Discovery and Reduces Price Responses

GRAFF & YOUNG SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS

- 1. Real Estate is Not Normal: A Fresh Look at Real Estate Return Distributions, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 1995, 10:3, 225-259.
- 2. Real Estate Return Correlations: Real-World Limitations on Relationships Inferred from NCREIF Data, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 1996, 13:2, 121-142.
- (1994 ARES Foundation Manuscript Award, Best Research Paper Presented at the ARES Annual Meeting by a Practicing Real Estate Professional)
- 3. Systematic Behavior in Real Estate Investment Risk: Performance Persistence in NCREIF Returns, *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 1996, 12:3, 369-381.
- (1996 RREEF Manuscript Award, Best Research Paper on Real Estate Investment/Portfolio Management Presented at the ARES Annual Meeting)
- 4. Serial Persistence in Equity REIT Returns, *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 1997, 14:3, 183-214.
- (1997 ARES Foundation Manuscript Award, Best Research Paper Presented by a Practicing Real Estate Professional at the ARES Annual Meeting)
- 5. The Magnitude of Random Appraisal Error in Commercial Real Estate Valuation, *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 1999, 17:1/2, 33-54.
- (1998 Appraisal Institute Manuscript Award, Best Research Paper Presented on Real Estate Valuation at the ARES Annual Meeting)

GRAFF & YOUNG SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS (CONTINUED)

(with Adrian Harrington)

6. The Shape of Australian Real Estate Return Distributions and Comparisons to the United States, *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 1997, 14:3, 291-308.

(1997 LaSalle Investment Management Manuscript Award, Best Paper on International Real Estate Investment/Portfolio Management Presented at the ARES Annual Meeting)

7. Serial Persistence in Disaggregated Australian Real Estate Returns, *Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management*, 1999, 5:2, 113-127.

(with David M. Geltner)

8. Random Disaggregate Appraisal Error in Commercial Property: Evidence from the Russell-NCREIF Database, Journal of Real Estate Research, 1994, 9:4, 403-419.